1

Adaptive Test Program Generation

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention.

[0001]   This invention generally relates to design verification, and more specifically relates to test program generation in a context of a computer mechanism and method for testing a design for compliance with the design specification.

2. Description of the Related Art.

[0002]   The extension of modern information technology into everyday life is due in large part to the existence, functionality and relatively low cost of advanced integrated circuits, and in particular to advancements in computer processors. As technology has advanced, the sophistication of both the hardware and software of computer systems have greatly increased. An important aspect of designing an advanced computer system is the ability to thoroughly test its design to assure that the design complies with desired specifications. Usually, such verification requires the generation of a test programs to assure that the system behaves properly under a wide variety of circumstances.

[0003]   Test program generators are basically sophisticated software engines, which are used to create numerous test cases. By appropriate configuration, it is possible for test program generation to be focused on very specific ranges of conditions, or broadened to cover

2

a wide range of logic. Today, large numbers of test cases can be created in the time that a single test case could be written manually, as was done prior to the advent of test program generators.

[0004]   Typically, the input to the test program generator is a sequence of partially specified instructions, which acts as a template for the test to be generated. The input stream is generally incomplete, in that various details of each instruction, such as the specific source and the target resources to be used, the data values of each uninitialized resource, and even the type of the instruction to be generated, may be left unspecified. The test program generator then generates a complete test program by filling in the missing information of each instruction with valid combinations of random values. The choice of random values is often biased, either explicitly in the input file, or through testing knowledge known to the test program generator, so as to increase the likelihood of detecting a design flaw. The use of templates in this manner allows the creation of numerous test cases that stress the implementation of the logic of the design.

[0005]   Early test program generators were static, in that they worked without any knowledge of the running state of the verified design during the generation of the test. Without this knowledge, it was necessary for the test program generator to guess the state when consider- ing its choice of legal or interesting values.

[0006]   Dynamic test program generators were subsequently developed, which simulate the test as it is being generated. The information obtained from the simulation is used to guide the test program generator, without forcing it to resort to extensive speculation as to what values may be desirable.

[0007]   During the past decade, model-based random test program generators have become popular in processor architectural design verification and software testing. An example of such a random test generators include the IBM tool, "Genesys", which is disclosed in the document *Model-Based Test Generation for Process Design Verification*, Y. Lichtenstein *et al.*, Sixth Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference, August 1994, pp. 83-94.

[0008]   Another conventional test generator, AVPGEN, is disclosed in the document *AVPGEN - A Generator for Architecture Verification Test Cases*, A. Chandra, *et al.* IEEE Trans. Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Syst. 3, No. 2, 188-200 (June 1995).

[0009]   Known test program generators are limited in their abilities to adapt to unexpected situations en-countered during the test, and offer at best ad hoc, so-lutions to handle situations such as program interrupts.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0010]   It is an advantage of some aspects of the present invention that an event handling mechanism is provided in a test program generator, which can deal with

disparate exceptional situations that may be encountered during test program generation.

[0011]   It is another advantage of some aspects of the present invention that beneficial situations encountered during test program generation can be recognized and exploited.

[0012]   It is yet another advantage of some aspects of the present invention that detrimental situations or failures encountered during test program generation can be recognized and avoided before they happen.

[0013]   It is a further advantage of some aspects of the present invention that events to be recognized during test program generation can be defined without modification or recompilation of a test program generator.

[0014]   It is yet a further advantage of some aspects of the present invention that the body of events is defined using the same language used for generating the main input stream.

[0015]   These and other advantages of the present invention are attained by a test program generator that produces test instructions according to a specification of a design being verified. The instructions are typically generated randomly, at least in part. The system is capable of interpreting defined events, detecting an impending occurrence of an event by evaluating the condition of the event, and responding to the event by gener-

ating an alternate input stream defined as part of the
event.

[0016]   An important consequence of using randomly
generated test cases is that the execution of the test
may lead to situations that cannot be anticipated at the
time the input stream is written. Examples of such events
include (1) program interrupts, (2) the event that there
is insufficient continuous unoccupied memory to store
subsequent instructions, and (3) false branch prediction.

[0017]   Some of the above noted events, for exam-
ple the event that there is insufficient continuous unoc-
cupied memory are detrimental, because they are likely to
cause failure of test program generation. Other events
may be viewed as beneficial, in that the situation that
arises on their occurrence can be exploited to increase
the likelihood of finding design flaws.

[0018]   In order to deal with events such as the
exemplary events noted above, it is desirable to generate
an alternate input stream. In the case of detrimental
events, the approach is to detect the situation prior to
its actual occurrence, and issuing appropriate instruc-
tions to avoid its occurrence. Beneficial events also
need to be detected, so that alternative instructions may
be inserted into the test to exploit the situation.

[0019]   The ability of users to detect the occur-
rence of triggering conditions of events and to inject an
alternate input stream into the test program is referred
to herein as "adaptive test program generation". Using

adaptive test program generation, users can define multiple input streams for the test program generator that are reactive to the running states of the generation. Because of randomness, such states cannot be known at the time the input is defined.

[0020]   The invention provides a system for verification of a system design, including a test program generator that accepts a sequence of statements, including at least one event, an event handling facility in the test program generator, wherein responsive to a triggering condition of the event, the test program generator emits test program instructions in response to either a primary input stream or an alternate input stream. The alternate input stream is represented in the body of the event.

[0021]   According to an aspect of the system, a plurality of events are processed in order of priority values thereof in the event handling facility.

[0022]   According to still another aspect of the system, a conditional statement of the event references a current state of a test program that is generated by the test program generator.

[0023]   The invention provides a method of test program generation, which includes the steps of defining a set of statements. The set of statements includes an event. The method includes generating a sequence of test program instructions for a target responsive to the set of statements, and while generating the sequence of test

program instructions, determining if a condition of the event is satisfied. If the condition is satisfied, an alternate sequence of test program instructions is generated.

[0024]   In an aspect of the method, a state of the target is evaluated prior to inclusion of an instruction in the sequence of test program instructions.

[0025]   According to still another aspect of the method, at least a portion of the sequence of test program instructions is randomly generated.

[0026]   According to still another aspect of the method, the event includes an identifying name attribute, a triggering condition attribute, and an input stream entity.

[0027]   The invention provides a method of test program generation, including the steps of providing a test program generation engine, and coupling the test program generation engine to a design specification of a target, wherein the design specification includes a knowledge base. The method further includes coupling the test program generation engine to an architectural simulator of the target, introducing a set of statements into the test program generation engine, the set of statements including an event, determining whether a triggering condition of the event is satisfied. In a first case, wherein the triggering condition is not satisfied, the method includes causing the test program generation engine to respond to the set of statements to generate a

first sequence of test program instructions that can be executed on the target, and in a second case, wherein the triggering condition is satisfied, causing the test program generation engine to respond to an alternate set of statements embodied in the event to generate a second sequence of test program instructions that can be executed on the target.

[0028]   According to an aspect of the method, at least a portion the test program instructions is generated randomly.

[0029]   In another aspect of the method, a state of the target is evaluated prior to inclusion of an instruction in the first sequence of test program instructions.

[0030]   According to another aspect of the method, the set of statements is introduced into the test program generation engine as an input file.

[0031]   The invention provides a computer software product, including a computer-readable medium in which computer program instructions are stored, which instructions, when read by a computer, cause the computer to generate test programs by performing the steps of accepting a set of statements, the set of statements including an event. Responsive to the set of statements a sequence of test program instructions is generated for a target. While performing generating the sequence of test program instructions the computer is caused to determine if a condition of the event is satisfied, and responsive to

the determination, to generate an alternate sequence of test program instructions.

[0032]   An aspect of the computer software product includes instructing the computer to access a knowledge base that has information of the target stored therein, and selecting members of the sequence of test program instructions in accordance with the information in the knowledge base, the selection being biased by the set of statements.

[0033]   The invention provides a computer software product, including a computer-readable medium in which computer program instructions are stored, which instructions, when read by a computer, cause the computer to generate test programs by performing the steps of defining a test program generation engine in a memory, defining a design specification of a target in the memory, wherein the design specification includes a knowledge base, defining an architectural simulator of the target in the memory, coupling the test program generation engine to the design specification, coupling the test program generation engine to the architectural simulator, accepting a set of statements into the test program generation engine, the set of statements including an event, and responsive to the set of statements, and to information in the knowledge base, causing the test program generation engine to generate a test program instruction that can be executed on the target. The computer is thereafter caused to determine in the architectural simu-

lator whether a triggering condition of the event is sat-
isfied by a simulated execution of the test program in-
struction. In a first case, wherein the triggering condi-
tion is not satisfied, the computer causes the test pro-
5   gram generation engine to respond to the set of state-
ments to generate a first sequence of test program in-
structions that can be executed on the target, and in a
second case, wherein the triggering condition is satis-
fied, causes the test program generation engine to re-
10   spond to an alternate set of statements of the event to
generate a second sequence of test program instructions
that can be executed on the target.

[0034]   In an aspect of the computer software
product the determination step is performed by evaluating
15   a state of the target prior to inclusion of the test pro-
gram instruction in one of the first sequence of test
program instructions and the second sequence of test pro-
gram instructions.

[0035]   In a further aspect of the computer, soft-
20   ware product evaluating the state is performed prior to
the simulated execution of the test program instruction.

[0036]   In yet another aspect of the computer
software product, evaluating the state is performed sub-
sequent to the simulated execution of the test program
25   instruction.

[0037]   In still another aspect of the computer
software product evaluating the state is performed a
first time prior to a simulated execution of the test

11

program instruction and is performed a second time subsequent to the simulated execution thereof.

[0038]   According to one aspect of the computer software product, the set of statements is introduced into the test program generation engine as an input file.

[0039]   The invention provides a test program generator, including a test program generation engine, a design specification of a target, wherein the design specification includes a knowledge base, wherein the test program generation engine is coupled to the design specification, an architectural simulator of the target coupled to the test program generation engine, wherein the test program generation engine is adapted to accept a set of statements, the set of statements including an event, responsive to the set of statements, and to information in the knowledge base, the test program generation engine generates a test program instruction that can be executed on the target. The test program generation engine determines whether a triggering condition of the event is satisfied by a simulated execution of the test program instruction. In a first case, wherein the triggering condition is not satisfied, responsive to the set of statements the test program generation engine generates a first sequence of test program instructions that can be executed on the target, and in a second case, wherein the triggering condition is satisfied, responsive to an alternate input stream in the event, the test program gen-

12

eration engine generates a second sequence of test pro-
gram instructions that can be executed on the target.

[0040]   An aspect of the test program generator is
a design simulator for simulating the execution of the
test program instruction.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0041]   For a better understanding of these and
other objects of the present invention, reference is made
to the detailed description of the invention, by way of
example, which is to be read in conjunction with the fol-
lowing drawings, wherein:

[0042]   Fig. 1 is a block diagram of a test pro-
gram generator that is operable in accordance with a pre-
ferred embodiment of the invention;

[0043]   Fig. 2 is a block diagram of an input file
structure in accordance with a preferred embodiment of
the invention;

[0044]   Fig. 3 is a block diagram illustrating the
structure of an event, which is operative in the test
program generator shown in Fig. 1 in accordance with a
preferred embodiment of the invention;

[0045]   Fig. 4 is a block diagram illustrating a
test generator engine of the test program generator shown
in Fig. 1 in accordance with a preferred embodiment of
the invention; and

[0046]   Fig. 5 is a flow chart of a method of test
program generation, which is operative in accordance with
a preferred embodiment of the invention.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

[0047]   In the following description, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. It will be apparent however, to one skilled in the art that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details. In other instances well known circuits, control logic, and the details of computer program instructions for conventional algorithms and processes have not been shown in detail in order not to unnecessarily obscure the present invention.

[0048]   Software programming code, which embodies aspects of the present invention, is typically maintained in permanent storage, such as a computer readable medium. In a client/server environment, such software programming code may be stored on a client or a server. The software programming code may be embodied on any of a variety of known media for use with a data processing system, such as a diskette, or hard drive, or CD-ROM. The code may be distributed on such media, or may be distributed to users from the memory or storage of one computer system over a network of some type to other computer systems for use by users of such other systems. The techniques and methods for embodying software program code on physical media and distributing software code via networks are well known and will not be further discussed herein.

14

Definitions.

[0049]   A "statement" is a single item for con-
trolling generation of the test. Statements can either be
"instruction statements", which are partially specified
instructions, which describe test program instructions to
be generated, or "control statements" which direct the
flow of control of other statements.

[0050]   A "directive" is a biasing component that
can be attached to statements for test program genera-
tion.

[0051]   An "event" is a combination of a condition
and an input stream or stream entity. The input stream is
used to generate instructions if the condition is satis-
fied.

[0052]   An "input stream" is a sequence of par-
tially specified instructions, which acts as a template
for the test program to be generated.

[0053]   A "definition file" or "input file" is a
file, in which the statements and events for a test are
stored. It directs generation of the test.

Architectural Overview.

[0054]   Reference is now made to Fig. 1, which is
a block diagram of a test program generator that is oper-
able in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the in-
vention. A design verification system 10, used for veri-
fying a software or hardware design, has several basic
interacting components. Those components of the design
verification system 10 that are located above a broken

15

line 12 are dependent on the specification of the design being verified, while those located below the line 12 are independent of the specification.

[0055]   The design verification system 10 enables the creation of tests that have various degrees of randomness. The ability of the design verification system 10 to introduce random unspecified values is fundamental, since design flaws in practice are usually unpredictable.

[0056]   An architectural model 14 holds a formal description of the specification of the system. This specification may be stored in a database, which may also incorporate testing knowledge of the system design. The integration of all the information stored in the architectural model 14 is referred to herein as the knowledge base of the test program generator. The knowledge base typically includes heuristics, which represent test engineering expertise, and the knowledge base is designed to allow expert users to add knowledge.

[0057]   The content of an input file 20 is the input to a generic test program generator engine 22. The input file 20 is the main medium through which the test program generator engine 22 is influenced. This influence includes, for example, the identity of the test instructions, their relative order, and various events relating to the instructions. The test program generator engine 22 incorporates an event mechanism 23 for detecting and processing events in the input file 20.

16

[0058]   It is an important aspect of the invention
that alternate input streams can be defined. These input
streams are reactive to conditions that could occur dur-
ing the execution of a test. In some cases, an input
stream may exploit some condition, while in other cases
the input stream may be designed to avoid the occurrence
of a condition. Adaptive test program generation is
achieved in the design verification system 10 by event
detection and handling using the event mechanism 23.

[0059]   An event is represented by a condition and
a set of statements that is generated only the condition
is satisfied. It is an important feature of the present
invention that events are definable, and are recognized
by the test program generator engine 22, and processed
therein. An advantage of introducing events into the test
program generation process is the capability of exten-
sively adapting the behavior of the test program genera-
tor engine 22 to unexpected situations in a controlled
manner, even though a high degree of randomness can be
present in the generation of test instructions.

[0060]   In addition to receiving input via the in-
put file 20, the test program generator engine 22 re-
ceives input information from the architectural model 14.
The test program generator engine 22 generates test pro-
grams 24, during which process instructions are executed
on an architectural simulator 26, and ultimately executed
on a target or design 28, which can be a simulator. This
produces results 29. The test program generator engine 22

may receive some generic knowledge of the specification, and can exploit this knowledge so as to generate sequences of instructions.

[0061]   The output of the test program generator engine 22 is a sequence of instructions. In a dynamic mode of operation, each instruction is first generated by the test program generator engine 22 and is then simulated by the architectural simulator 26. Generation typically involves selecting the instruction, the operands and the resources, and initializing the resources. As this is done, the instruction built by the test program generator engine 22 is passed to the architectural simulator 26, and eventually to the design 28 for execution. This cycle continues with the next instruction.

[0062]   The architectural simulator 26 is used to predict the results of instruction execution in accordance with the specification of the system being verified. Any conventional behavioral simulator is suitable for the architectural simulator 26.

Input file Details.

[0063]   Reference is now made to Fig. 2, which is a high level block diagram of an input file in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention. Input files are a preferred medium for practicing the present invention. The input file 20 is essentially a list of statements 30 for controlling the test output. There are various types of statements. The statements 30 may include,

an event 34, and conventional instructions (not shown) that are unrelated to events.

Instructions.

[0064]   Instruction statements are the most basic statements in the input file 20. Instruction statements in the input file 20 are to be distinguished from test program instructions that are actually output by the test program generator

Statements.

[0065]   The statements 30 in the input file 20 actually constitute a program that is interpreted by the test program generator engine 22. The programming language includes constructs that are used to control the operation of the test program generator engine 22. The language includes conditional statements, which can reference the current state of the program generated by the test program generator engine 22. These control constructs are not themselves generated.

Events.

[0066]   Reference is now made to Fig. 3, which is a block diagram illustrating the structure of an event 34 (Fig. 2) which is operative in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention. The event 34 has a group of specialized attributes: an identifying name 36, a triggering condition 38, a priority value 40 and an input stream or body 42 that defines instructions to be generated.

[0067]   The name 36 is simply the identifier of the event. It is a required attribute.

[0068]   The triggering condition 38 is a condition that is checked to decide whether the body 42 should be used as the current input stream of the test program generator engine 22 to generate instructions. It is a required attribute. In some cases the triggering condition 38 can be given the value TRUE, in which case the body 42 is always used.

[0069]   In some embodiments, events may be specified directly in the input files. In other embodiments, events may be stored in separate event files, which are included by the input file 20. It is also possible for event files to include other event files.

[0070]   Reference is now made to Fig. 4, which is a block diagram illustrating the test generator engine in further detail, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention. The test program generator engine 22 (Fig. 1) maintains a list of events 44. Each of the events 44 includes a name 46, and is used for purposes of identification, a triggering condition 48, a body 50, which is an alternate input stream to be generated when the event occurs, and further includes a relative priority value 52. Evaluation of triggering conditions by the test program generator engine 22 occurs in order of the priority value 52.

[0071]   Listing 1 is a high level procedure which is encoded into the test program generator engine 22 for

20

the detection and handling of events that are defined in the input file.

Listing 1

```
Procedure: HandleEvents
Input: currentStatement
1.For each event eh in order of priority

    // check if event is disabled
    2. If !currentStatement -> Disables(eh)

        //evaluate triggering condition
        3. if eh->ConditionIsTriggered()

            //use the input stream specified by the
            //event's body to generated instructions
            4. eh->GenerateInstructionStream()
```

[0072]   The procedure HandleEvents in Listing 1 is called by the test program generator engine 22 before each instruction is generated.

[0073]   Design flaws are often exposed when two or more events occur concomitantly. For example, a program interrupt occurring while another interrupt is being handled, or following a false branch prediction. In order to expose such flaws, the procedure HandleEvents is designed to handle events recursively. When nesting of events occurs, the processing of the primary event is paused while the secondary event is handled.

Examples.

[0074]   The following examples are useful in explaining the operation of an event according to the in-

vention. In Listing 2 and Listing 3, the body of the
named event is a single instruction. However the body of
an event is an input stream, and not limited to a single
instruction.

Branch-on-zero event.

[0075]   A branch-on-zero event occurs whenever the
zero bit of a particular conditional register is set.
Recognition of the branch-on-zero event by the test pro-
gram generator engine 22 (Fig. 1), using one of the
events 44 (Fig. 4), results in the generation of a condi-
tional branch. The particulars of the event for the
branch-on-zero event are shown in Listing 2.

```
                         Listing 2
Name: BranchOnZero
//Check if the zero bit of the condition register
// is set
cond: ConditionRegisterZero = 1

//issue a conditional branch on zero instruction
body:
      bez
```

Escape Sequence Event.

[0076]   An escape sequence event occurs whenever
there is insufficient uninitialized memory at the address
currently held in the program counter (PC). Recognition
of the escape sequence event by the test program genera-
tor engine 22, using another one of the events 44, causes
the generation of an unconditional branch to an unoccu-
pied memory location using the event mechanism 23. The

22

particulars of the event for the escape sequence event
are shown in Listing 3.

Listing 3

```
name: EscapeSequence

//check that there is sufficient room to write
//a branch instruction, but nothing else
cond: !IsInitialized ($PC) AND IsInitialized ($PC+4)

//generated branch instruction
body:
    br
```

Interrupt Handler.

[0077]   The event shown in Listing 4 is typical of
an interrupt handler generated by the test program gen-
erator engine 22 (Fig. 1). It responds to an event enti-
tled "DSI", which involves an interrupt, and includes in-
structions that return the program counter to the address
immediately following the instruction that caused the in-
terrupt. The triggering condition for the event is that
the program counter contains the address (or addresses)
appropriate to the interrupt.

23

Listing 4

Name: DSI_Interrupt_Handler

5   //check that DSI exception occurred
       cond: $PC == 0x0000000000000300 ||
             $PC == 0x0000000000000304

       //generated handler instructions
10   body:
           mfsr G1
           addi G1,G1,0x4
           mtsr G1
           rfi
15
Operation.

[0078]   Reference is now made to Fig. 5, which is
a flow chart illustrating a method of test program gen-
eration, which is operative in accordance with a pre-
20   ferred embodiment of the invention. The description of
Fig. 5 should be read in conjunction with Figs. 1, 2, 3,
and 4. The test program generation cycle begins at ini-
tial step 54, in which the design verification system 10
(Fig. 1) is configured for testing the system design. Ap-
25   propriate files are loaded in order to initialize the
test program generator engine 22, the architectural model
14, the architectural simulator 26, and the design 28.

[0079]   Next, at step 60, the test program genera-
tor engine 22 reads the input file 20. In particular,
30   each event 34 is interpreted by the test program genera-
tor engine 22, and stored in a list of events 44
(Fig. 4). For purposes of this disclosure, only the proc-
essing of events is disclosed with reference to Fig. 5,

it being understood that many statements unrelated to
events may also be read from the input file 20, which
would be processed conventionally.

[0080]   The list of the events 44 that were devel-
oped in step 60 are scanned in order of priority. At
step 70 the member of the list of events 44 having the
highest priority, and which is as yet unevaluated is se-
lected.

[0081]   Decision step 72 is an optional step that
is performed in alternate embodiments where events may be
disabled. Decision step 72 can be omitted, in which case
control passes directly from step 70 to decision step 76.

[0082]   At decision step 72 a test is made to de-
termine whether the event selected in step 70 is cur-
rently disabled. If the determination at decision step 72
is affirmative, then control proceeds to decision
step 76.

[0083]   If the determination at step 70 is nega-
tive, then control proceeds to decision step 74.

[0084]   At decision step 74 the event selected in
step 70 is evaluated to determine if its triggering con-
dition 48 currently holds. The triggering condition 48 is
a Boolean expression that is evaluated by the test pro-
gram generator engine 22, which may refer to current re-
source values. In such a case the test program generator
engine 22 may refer to the architectural simulator 26 in
order to access these values. For example, if the test
program generator engine 22 evaluates the condition of

the event given in Listing 4, it needs to know the value
of the program counter register ($PC), and may obtain
this value from the architectural simulator 26.

[0085]   If the determination at decision step 74
is negative, then control proceeds to decision step 76,
which is disclosed below.

[0086]   If the determination at decision step 74
is affirmative, then control proceeds to step 78. The in-
put stream is switched to an alternate input stream,
which is specified in the body 50 of the current one of
the events 44. The alternate input stream is used as a
template to generate test program instructions in the
same manner as the primary input stream. Step 78 is typi-
cally implemented recursively. The processing of the pri-
mary input stream is suspended until the alternate input
stream begun in step 78 is completed. Control is then
transferred to step 62, which is disclosed below.

[0087]   At decision step 76, it is determined if
more events remain to be evaluated. If the determination
at decision step 76 is affirmative, then control returns
to decision step 70 to process the next event.

[0088]   If the determination at decision step 76
is negative, then control proceeds to step 62.

[0089]   At step 62 the generation of a test pro-
gram instruction sequence is begun, using either the pri-
mary input stream or the alternate input stream initiated
in step 78. A current state of the design is determined,
using the architectural simulator 26.

26

[0090]   Next, at step 63 an instruction is ob-
tained from the current input stream. Control now pro-
ceeds to step 64.

[0091]   At step 64, the test program generator en-
gine 22 proposes an instruction. The proposed instruction
may have randomly generated values, depending upon the
current test program generation policy in force, and sub-
ject to any rule constraints that may be in effect.

[0092]   Next, at step 66, the proposed instruction
is evaluated in the architectural simulator 26. The
states of the design existing immediately prior to execu-
tion of the instruction, and the predicted state follow-
ing execution of the instruction are then both known.

[0093]   Next, in step 68, the test program genera-
tor engine 22 outputs the instruction that was proposed
at step 64 as part of the test program being generated.
Control passes to decision step 80.

[0094]   At decision step 80, it is determined if
more test program instructions need to be generated in
the test. If the determination at decision step 80 is af-
firmative, then control returns to step 70.

[0095]   If the determination at decision step 80
is negative then control proceeds to final step 82, and
the current phase of the test program generation cycle is
complete.

Alternative Modes of Operation.

[0096]   In some embodiments, for any statement, or
sequence of statements in the input stream, it may be

specified that detection and activation of a particular
event should be disabled during test program generation.
This provides enhanced control of the test program gen-
eration process.

[097]   While this invention has been explained
with reference to the structure disclosed herein, it is
not confined to the details set forth, and this applica-
tion is intended to cover any modifications and changes
as may come within the scope of the following claims: